# **§∀JL**

#### **On Extensions of Isomorphisms of Substructures**

Edelcio G. de Souza and Alexandre A. M. Rodrigues (in memoriam)

#### Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a first order relational language with identity and let  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ be the usual infinitary extension of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Given an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{E}$  and two substructures  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ ; an  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -strong isomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is an isomorphism  $f : \mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_2$  which preserves the intersections of the  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -definable relations of  $\mathcal{E}$  (Definition 4.1). For a suitable choice of  $\alpha, \beta$ ; a necessary and sufficient condition for f to be extendable to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{E}$  is that f be  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -strong (Theorem 4.2). If every isomorphism between substructures of  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -strong for an adequate choice of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{E}$  is homogeneous (Theorem 4.5). The result is used to prove that, for any  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{E}$ , quantifier elimination in a suitable language  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$  implies homogeneity, whatever the cardinality of  $\mathcal{E}$  (Corollary 5.3).

**Keywords:** infinitary languages, strong isomorphisms, homogeneous structures.

#### 1 Infinitary languages

Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be infinite cardinal numbers,  $\alpha$  being a regular cardinal and  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . By  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$  we denote an *infinitary first order relational language*, whose formulas are sequences of less than  $\alpha$  symbols and which admits sequences of less than  $\alpha$  conjunctions and blocks of sequences of less than  $\beta$  instantiations.

In order to fix our notation, we recall the rules of formation of formulas. We denote by |A| the cardinal of a set A and by  $\wp(A)$  its power set and use freely the notion of concatenation of sequences. (See [2].)

The symbols of  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$  are the logical symbols  $\neg, \bigwedge, \exists$ , relation symbols including = and symbols of variables. We use the abbreviations  $\forall, \bigvee$  and the standard convention for the use of parenthesis. We assume that the cardinal of the set V of variables is  $\alpha$  and that we have a fixed enumeration  $\chi : i \in \alpha \to x_i \in V$ of V and consider V as an ordered set:  $x_i \leq x_j$  if and only if  $i \leq j$ . The set of relation symbols is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}$ ; to each relation symbol  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  is associated a natural number ar(R) called the *arity* of R.

The set of *formulas* of  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$  is the smallest set of sequences of symbols of length less than  $\alpha$  satisfying the following conditions:

1.1) If R is a relation symbol of arity n and  $\tau : n \to V$  is a sequence of variables, then  $R\tau$  is a formula;

1.2) If  $\varphi$  is a formula,  $\neg \varphi$  is a formula;

1.3) If  $\gamma < \alpha$  is an ordinal number and  $(\varphi_i)_{i < \gamma}$  is a sequence of formulas, then  $\bigwedge (\varphi_i)_{i < \gamma}$  is a formula;

1.4) If  $\varphi$  is a formula,  $\gamma < \beta$  is an ordinal number and  $\eta : \gamma \to V$  is a sequence of variables, then  $\exists \eta \varphi$  is a formula.

We also use the notation  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$  to denote the set of formulas of the language  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ . The set  $V(\varphi)$  of free variables of a formula  $\varphi$  is defined as usual. The *arity* of  $\varphi$  is by definition the ordinal number of  $V(\varphi)$  endowed with the order induced by the order of V. If  $\varphi$  is a formula of arity  $\gamma$ , we denote by  $\sigma_{\varphi} : \gamma \to V(\varphi)$  the order preserving bijection. The language  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}$  is the standard finitary first order relational language and will be denoted simply by  $\mathcal{L}$ .

# 2 Relational structures

Given a set E and an ordinal  $\gamma$ , a  $\gamma$ -tuple of points of E is a sequence  $p: \gamma \to E$ of elements of E defined on  $\gamma$ . We refer to  $\gamma$ -tuples also as  $\gamma$ -points or points of arity  $\gamma$  defined on E. Denote by  $E^{\gamma}$  the set of all  $\gamma$ -points defined on E; a relation of arity  $\gamma$  is a subset of  $E^{\gamma}$ .

Given an  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -language and a non empty set E, an  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -relational structure  $\mathcal{E}$  defined on E is a pair  $(E, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}})$  such that  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}}$  is a map that assigns to each predicate symbol  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  of arity n a relation  $R_{\mathcal{E}}$  of arity n defined on E; E is the domain of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

If a finitary relational language  $\mathcal{L}$  has been fixed and given cardinals  $\alpha, \beta$ ,  $\alpha$  regular and  $\beta \leq \alpha$ , we shall denote by  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$  the infinitary language which has the same predicate symbols as  $\mathcal{L}$  and whose ordered set of variables extend the set of variables of  $\mathcal{L}$ , that is , if  $x_i$ ,  $i < \alpha$ , are the variables of  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ , then  $x_i$ ,  $i < \omega$ , are the variables of  $\mathcal{L}$ . We call  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$  the extended language of  $\mathcal{L}$ . If  $\mathcal{E}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure, then  $\mathcal{E}$  is also an  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -structure.

An interpretation of the variables of an  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -structure  $\mathcal{E}$  is a map  $\mathcal{I} : V \to E$ . We recall the notion of an interpretation  $\mathcal{I}$  of variables satisfying a formula  $\varphi$ in an  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -structure  $\mathcal{E}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{I} \vDash_{\mathcal{E}} \varphi$ , using our notation:

2.1) If  $\varphi$  is  $R\tau$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \vDash_{\mathcal{E}} \varphi \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} \circ \tau \in R_{\mathcal{E}}$ ;

2.2) If  $\varphi$  is  $\neg \psi$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \vDash_{\mathcal{E}} \varphi \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} \nvDash_{\mathcal{E}} \psi$  ( $\mathcal{I}$  does not satisfy  $\psi$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ );

2.3) If  $\varphi$  is  $\bigwedge(\varphi_i)_{i < \gamma}$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \vDash_{\mathcal{E}} \varphi \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} \vDash_{\mathcal{E}} \varphi_i$  for all  $i < \gamma$ ;

2.4) If  $\varphi$  is  $\exists \eta \psi$ , then  $\mathcal{I} \vDash_{\mathcal{E}} \varphi$  if and only if there exists  $\mathcal{I}' : V \to E$  such that  $\mathcal{I}' \vDash_{\mathcal{E}} \psi$  and  $\mathcal{I}(x_i) = \mathcal{I}'(x_i)$  for every  $x_i \in V(\varphi)$ , that is,  $\mathcal{I} \circ \sigma_{\varphi} = \mathcal{I}' \circ \sigma_{\varphi}$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$  be a formula of arity  $\gamma$  of an  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -structure  $\mathcal{E}$ . The relation  $[\varphi]_{\mathcal{E}}$  defined by  $\varphi$  in the structure  $\mathcal{E}$  is the set of all points  $p \in E^{\gamma}$  for which there exists an interpretation  $\mathcal{I}$  of the variables satisfying  $\varphi$  and  $p = \mathcal{I} \circ \sigma_{\varphi}$ , that is:

$$[\varphi]_{\mathcal{E}} = \{ \mathcal{I} \circ \sigma_{\varphi} \in E^{\gamma} : \mathcal{I} \vDash_{\mathcal{E}} \varphi \}.$$

A relation R defined on E is *definable* in the  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -structure  $\mathcal{E}$  if there exists a formula  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$  such that  $R = [\varphi]_{\mathcal{E}}$ .

#### **3** Invariance and definability

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure over the domain E and denote by G the group of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{E}$  and by  $\overline{\delta}$  the cardinal of the set  $\wp(\delta)$  where  $\delta = |E|$ .

The action of G on E extends to an action of G on  $E^{\gamma}$ , where  $\gamma$  is any ordinal. If  $g \in G$  and  $p \in E^{\gamma}$ ,  $g \cdot p = g \circ p$ . For any choice of  $\alpha, \beta$ , any relation definable in the extended  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -structure  $\mathcal{E}$  is invariant under G.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $p \in E^{\delta}$  be a bijection of  $\delta$  onto E. The orbit  $G \cdot p$  of p under the action of G is the intersection S of all  $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\delta}\overline{\delta}}$ -definable relations that contains p.

**Proof.** Since S is invariant by G and  $p \in S$ , it follows that  $G \cdot p \subset S$ .

Let  $N \subset E^{\delta}$  be the set of all bijections of  $\delta$  onto E. The following formula of  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\delta}\bar{\delta}}$ , where y is a variable distinct from all  $x_i, i < \delta$ , defines N,

$$\forall y [\bigvee_{i < \delta} (y = x_i)] \land [\bigwedge_{i,j < \delta, i \neq j} (x_i \neq x_j)].$$

Hence  $S \subset N$ .

Let q be any point of S and consider the bijection  $g = q \cdot p^{-1} : E \to E$ . We have  $g \cdot p = q$ ; we shall prove that  $g \in G$ .

Since  $\overline{\delta}$  is a regular cardinal,  $|\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\delta}\overline{\delta}}| < \overline{\delta}$ . Hence, the power of the set of definable relations of arity  $\leq \delta$  in the  $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\delta}\overline{\delta}}$ -structure  $\mathcal{E}$  is less then  $\overline{\delta}$ , yielding that S is definable in the  $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\delta}\overline{\delta}}$ -structure. Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\delta}\overline{\delta}}$  be a formula that defines S and assume that the free variables of  $\varphi$  are  $(x_i)_{i<\delta}$ . Let R be a relation symbol of  $\mathcal{L}$  of arity n and denote also by  $R \subset E^n$  the relation represented by the symbol R. Given a point  $a \in R$ , we define the map  $\eta = (\chi|\delta) \circ p^{-1} \circ a : n \to V$  and consider the following formula of  $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\delta}\overline{\delta}}$ :

$$\psi = \varphi \wedge R\eta.$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}: V \to E$  be an interpretation of variables satisfying, for  $i < \delta$ ,  $\mathcal{I}(x_i) = p_i$ . Since  $\sigma_{\varphi} = \chi | \delta$ , we have  $\mathcal{I} \circ \sigma_{\varphi} = p$  and from  $p \in [\varphi]_{\mathcal{E}}$  it follows that  $\mathcal{I} \models_{\mathcal{E}} \varphi$ . From  $\mathcal{I} \circ \eta = p \circ (\chi | \delta)^{-1} \circ (\chi | \delta) \circ p^{-1} \circ a \in R$  it follows that  $\mathcal{I} \models_{\mathcal{E}} R\eta$ ; hence,  $\mathcal{I} \models_{\mathcal{E}} \psi$ . From  $\sigma_{\psi} = \chi | \delta$  and  $p = \mathcal{I} \circ \sigma_{\psi}$  we have that  $p \in [\psi]_{\mathcal{E}}$ . Thus, there exists  $\mathcal{I}': V \to E$  such that  $q = \mathcal{I}' \circ \chi | \delta$  and  $\mathcal{I}' \circ \eta = \mathcal{I}' \circ (\chi | \delta) \circ p^{-1} \circ a \in R$ ; hence,  $q \circ p^{-1} \circ a \in R$  or, equivalently,  $g \cdot a \in R$ . This proves that  $g \cdot R \subset R$ ; interchanging the rules of p and q we have for  $g^{-1} = p^{-1} \circ q$ ,  $g^{-1} \cdot R \subset R$ . Therefore,  $g \cdot R = R$ . Since R is any primitive relation of  $\mathcal{E}$ , this proves that  $g \in G$ . Since q is any point of S, we have  $G \cdot p \supset S$ , completing the proof that  $G \cdot p = S$ .

**Corollary 3.2** Let  $p: \gamma \to E, \gamma \leq \delta$ , be an injection. The orbit  $G \cdot p \subset E^{\gamma}$  of p is  $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\delta}\overline{\delta}}$ -definable.

**Proof.** If  $\gamma = \delta$  and  $p: \delta \to E$  is a bijection, by theorem 3.1 the orbit  $G \cdot p$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\delta}\bar{\delta}}$ -definable. If  $\gamma < \delta$ , the injection p can be extended to a bijection  $q: \delta \to E$ . Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\delta}\bar{\delta}}$  be a formula which defines  $G \cdot q$  and assume that the free variables of  $\psi$  are  $(x_i)_{i < \delta}$ . Then, the formula  $\exists (x_i)_{\gamma \leq i < \delta} \psi$  defines the orbit  $G \cdot p$ .

**Remark 3.3** Based on corollary 3.2, it is not difficult to prove that the orbit of any point  $p \in E^{\gamma}, \gamma \leq \delta$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\delta}\bar{\delta}}$ -definable. Since any relation of  $E^{\gamma}$ , invariant under the action of G is a union of orbits of G, it follows that every invariant relation of  $\mathcal{E}$  of arity  $\gamma \leq \delta$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\delta}\bar{\delta}}$ -definable. See [4] and [1].

# 4 Strong isomorphisms and homogeneity

Let  $\mathcal{E}_i$  be  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures defined on domains  $E_i$ ; and let  $\mathcal{F}_i$  be substructures of  $\mathcal{E}_i$  defined on domains  $F_i \subset E_i$ , such that  $|F_i| < |E_i|$ , i = 1, 2. We consider  $\mathcal{E}_i$  also as  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -structures where  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$  is the extended language of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

If  $\gamma$  is an ordinal number, for any map  $f : E_1 \to E_2$ , we denote by  $f^{\gamma} : E_1^{\gamma} \to E_2^{\gamma}$  the natural extension of f to  $E_1^{\gamma}$ ; if  $p \in E_1^{\gamma}$ ,  $f^{\gamma}(p) = f \circ p$ .

**Definition 4.1** An isomorphism  $f : F_1 \to F_2$  of the  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$ is  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -strong if for all formulas  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$  of arity  $\gamma$  we have

$$f^{\gamma}([\varphi]_{\mathcal{E}_1} \cap F_1^{\gamma}) = [\varphi]_{\mathcal{E}_2} \cap F_2^{\gamma} \tag{\Xi}$$

If f is the restriction to  $F_1$  of an isomorphism  $\tilde{f} : E_1 \to E_2$  of the  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$ , then f is  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -strong for any choice of  $\alpha, \beta$ .

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $\mathcal{E}_i$  be isomorphic  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures with domains  $E_i$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}_i$ be a substructure of  $\mathcal{E}_i$ , with domains  $F_i$ , i = 1, 2. Denote by  $\overline{\delta}$  the cardinal of the set  $\wp(\delta)$  where  $\delta = |E_1| = |E_2|$ . If  $|F_1| < \delta$ , then any  $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\delta}\overline{\delta}}$ -strong isomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  can be extended to an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f : F_1 \to F_2$  be an  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\delta}\bar{\delta}}$ -strong isomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Consider an isomorphism  $h : E_1 \to E_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$  and a bijection  $p : \gamma \to F_1$ , where  $\gamma = |F_1|$ . We extended p to a bijection  $q : \delta \to E_1$ . By theorem 3.1, there are formulas  $\varphi, \tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\delta}\bar{\delta}}$  which define the orbits  $G \cdot p, G \cdot q$  of p and qunder the action of the group G of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{E}_1$ . We assume that  $V(\varphi) = \{x_i : i < \gamma\}$  and  $V(\tilde{\varphi}) = \{x_i : i < \delta\}$ . Consider the following formula of  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\delta}\bar{\delta}}$ :

$$\psi = \exists (x_i)_{i \in \delta - \gamma} (\varphi \land \tilde{\varphi}).$$

Clearly  $p \in [\psi]_{\mathcal{E}_1}$ . By definition of strong isomorphism, we have:

$$f^{\gamma}([\psi]_{\mathcal{E}_1} \cap F_1^{\gamma}) = [\psi]_{\mathcal{E}_2} \cap F_2^{\gamma}.$$

It follows that  $f^{\gamma}(p) \in [\psi]_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ . Hence, for each  $i, \gamma \leq i < \delta$ , there exists  $c(i) \in E_2$  verifying the condition that the sequence  $r_2 : \delta \to E_2$  defined by  $r_2(i) = f(q(i))$  if  $i < \gamma$  and  $r_2(i) = c(i)$  if  $\gamma \leq i < \delta$  belongs to  $[\tilde{\varphi}]_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ . Since  $h^{\delta}$  maps  $[\tilde{\varphi}]_{\mathcal{E}_1}$  onto  $[\tilde{\varphi}]_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ , there exists  $r_1 \in [\tilde{\varphi}]_{\mathcal{E}_1}$  such that  $h^{\delta}(r_1) = r_2$ . By definition of  $\tilde{\varphi}$ , there exists  $g \in G$  satisfying  $g \cdot q = r_1$ . Consider the isomorphism  $\tilde{f} = h \circ g : E_1 \to E_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$ . For every element  $a \in F_1$ , there exists  $i < \gamma$  verifying p(i) = q(i) = a. Then,  $\tilde{f}(a) = h(g(a)) = h(g(q(i))) = h(r_1(i)) = r_2(i) = f(q(i)) = f(a)$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{f}$  extends f.

**Definition 4.3** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure defined on the domain E, assume  $|E| = \delta$ . We say that  $\mathcal{E}$  has the property of strong isomorphisms if every isomorphism between substructures of  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\delta}\bar{\delta}}$ -strong.

**Definition 4.4** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure defined on the domain E and assume that  $|E| = \delta$ .  $\mathcal{E}$  is homogeneous if any isomorphism of substructures of  $\mathcal{E}$ , of cardinal less than  $\delta$ , can be extended to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Theorem 4.5** An  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{E}$  has the property of strong isomorphism if and only if  $\mathcal{E}$  is homogeneous.

**Proof.** Immediate from theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.5 states a different form to characterize homogeneous  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures considering infinitary entensions of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

### 5 Quantifier elimination and categoricity

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure and consider two formulas  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  of the extended language  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ . We say that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are equivalent in the  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -structure  $\mathcal{E}$  (or  $\mathcal{E}$ -equivalent) if  $[\varphi]_{\mathcal{E}} = [\psi]_{\mathcal{E}}$ .

We say that  $\mathcal{E}$  has quantifier elimination in  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$  if every formula of  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$  which has free variables is  $\mathcal{E}$ -equivalent to an  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -formula without quantifiers.

**Theorem 5.1** Let  $\mathcal{E}_i$  be isomorphic  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures, i = 1, 2. Given cardinals  $\alpha, \beta$ , assume that  $\mathcal{E}_1$  has quantifier elimination in  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ . Then, every isomorphism  $f: F_1 \to F_2$  of substructures  $\mathcal{F}_i$  of  $\mathcal{E}_i$ , i = 1, 2, is  $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha\beta}$ -strong.

**Proof.** By definition of substructures, equality ( $\Xi$ ), see definition 4.1, holds for every atomic formula  $\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ . It is easily proved that if ( $\Xi$ ) holds for a formula  $\varphi$ , then it holds also for  $\neg \varphi$ . Let  $\varphi_i$ ,  $i < \gamma < \alpha$  be a sequence of quantifiers-free formulas for which ( $\Xi$ ) holds; we shall prove below that ( $\Xi$ ) also holds for  $\bigwedge(\varphi_i)_{i < \gamma}$  and  $\bigvee(\varphi_i)_{i < \gamma}$ .

To prove that  $(\Xi)$  holds for  $\varphi = \bigwedge(\varphi_i)_{i < \gamma}$ , denote by  $V_i$  the set of free variables of  $\varphi_i$  and by  $V = \bigcup_{i < \gamma} V_i$  the set of free variables of  $\varphi$ . For each  $i < \gamma$ , consider the formula

$$\tilde{\varphi_i} = \varphi_i \wedge \bigwedge_{x_k \in V - V_i} x_k = x_k,$$

and assume that the arity of  $\varphi$  is  $\gamma_0$ . It is easily verified that  $(\Xi)$  holds for  $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ . Then, we have:

$$\begin{split} h^{\gamma}([\varphi]_{\mathcal{E}_{1}} \cap F_{1}^{\gamma_{0}}) &= h^{\gamma_{0}}([\bigwedge_{i < \gamma} \varphi_{i}]_{\mathcal{E}_{1}} \cap F_{1}^{\gamma_{0}}) \\ &= h^{\gamma_{0}}(\bigcap_{i < \gamma}([\tilde{\varphi}_{i}]_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}) \cap F_{1}^{\gamma_{0}}) \\ &= h^{\gamma_{0}}(\bigcap_{i < \gamma}([\tilde{\varphi}_{i}]_{\mathcal{E}_{1}} \cap F_{1}^{\gamma_{0}})) \\ &= \bigcap_{i < \gamma} h^{\gamma_{0}}([\tilde{\varphi}_{i}]_{\mathcal{E}_{1}} \cap F_{1}^{\gamma_{0}}) \\ &= \bigcap_{i < \gamma}([\tilde{\varphi}_{i}]_{\mathcal{E}_{2}} \cap F_{2}^{\gamma_{0}}) \\ &= (\bigcap_{i < \gamma}([\tilde{\varphi}_{i}]_{\mathcal{E}_{2}})) \cap F_{2}^{\gamma_{0}} \\ &= [\bigwedge_{i < \gamma} \varphi_{i}]_{\mathcal{E}_{2}} \cap F_{2}^{\gamma_{0}} \\ &= [\varphi]_{\mathcal{E}_{2}} \cap F_{2}^{\gamma_{0}}. \end{split}$$

The proof for  $\bigvee (\varphi_i)_{i < \gamma}$  is similar and will be ommitted.

The next theorem is a model-theoretical generalization of well known theorems of theories of algebraically closed fields and differentially closed fields of characteristic zero. For instance, the Steinitz's isomorphism theorem: Let Kand K' be fields, and let L, L' be, respectively, algebraic closures of K and K'. Then, every isomorphism from K to K' is extendible to an isomorphism from L to L'.

**Theorem 5.2** Let  $\mathcal{E}_i$  be isomorphic  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures over domains  $E_i$ , i = 1, 2; that have quantifier elimination in  $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\delta}\overline{\delta}}$ ,  $\overline{\delta} = |\wp(E_1)|$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is a substructure of  $\mathcal{E}_i$  whose domain  $F_i$  satisfies  $|F_i| < |E_i|$ , i = 1, 2. Any isomorphism of substructures  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  admits an extension to an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$ .

**Proof.** Theorem 5.2 is a straightforward consequence of the theorems 4.2 and 5.1.

**Corollary 5.3** An  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{E}$  is homogeneous if it has quantifier elimination in  $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\delta\delta}}, \ \overline{\delta} = |\wp(E)|.$ 

We recall that an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{E}$  is *categorical* if any  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{E}'$ , |E| = |E'|, which is elementary equivalent to  $\mathcal{E}$ , is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}$ .

The following theorem is easily proved using compacity. If the cardinality of E is  $\omega$ , the proof can be found in [3], corollary 3.1.3 and proposition 3.1.6.

**Theorem 5.4** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a homogeneous  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure. If either  $|\mathcal{R}|$  is finite or  $|\mathcal{R}| \leq |E|$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is categorical, then  $\mathcal{E}$  has quantifier elimination.

The theorem below is a consequence of theorems 4.5 and 5.4.

**Theorem 5.5** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure. If either  $|\mathcal{R}|$  is finite or  $|\mathcal{R}| \leq |E|$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is categorical, then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $\mathcal{E}$  has quantifier elimination in  $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\delta}\overline{\delta}}$ ,  $\delta = |\wp(E)|$ ;
- 2.  $\mathcal{E}$  has the property of strong isomorphisms;

3.  $\mathcal{E}$  is homogeneous.

#### 6 Final remarks

As far as the authors know, the notion of strong isomorphisms, stated in a set theoretical way, is due to J. S. e Silva. See [5].

Although Silva did not have the notion of infinitary languages, his result in [5], pp 112-113 is, in essence, equivalent to theorem 4.2. See also [1], theorem 7.1 p 23.

# Acknowledgements

Thanks to anonymous reviewer for a detailed analysis of the paper which helped us to improve it.

# References

- Da Costa, N. C. A., Rodrigues, A. A. M. Definability and invariance. Studia Logica, vol 86: 1–30, 2007.
- [2] Dickmann, M. A. Large Infinitary Languages: model theory. North Holland Publishing Company. Amsterdam. 1975.
- [3] Macpherson, D. A survey of homogeneous structures. Discrete Mathematics. Vol 311, Issue 15: 1599–1634, 2011.
- [4] Rodrigues, A. A. M., de Miranda Filho, R. C., de Souza, E. G. Definability in infinitary languages and invariance by automorphisms. *Reports on Mathematical Logic*, vol. 45: 119–133, 2010.
- [5] Sebastião e Silva, J. On automorphisms of arbitrary mathematical systems. *History and Philosophy of Logic*, vol. 6: 91–116, 1985.

Edelcio Gonçalves de Souza Department of Philosophy University of São Paulo (USP) Av. Prof. Luciano Gualberto, 315, Sala 1007, CEP 05508-010, São Paulo, SP, Brazil *E-mail:* edelcio.souza@usp.br

Alexandre Augusto Martins Rodrigues Department of Mathematics University of São Paulo (USP) Rua do Matão 1010, CEP 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil *E-mail:* prof.aamrod@gmail.com